

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/645,933 08/25/2000 24573 7590 12/04/2003 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR John R. Ellis	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 06543-020002 4134 EXAMINER TRUONG, LECHI ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2126 DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/645,933	OLIPHANT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
Office Action Summary	_	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication	LeChi Truong	with the correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on any	
riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATOTOTT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136(a). In no event, nowever, may on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of t , period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M	hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ONTHS CONTROL (35 U.S.C. & 133).
atus 1) Nesponsive to communication(s) filed o	n 25 August 2000 .	
,—	A This serion is non-initial.	
2a) This action is 1 in 12	(for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-59</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-59</u> is/are rejected.		
is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requiremen	i.
Application Papers		
to by the F	xaminer.	by the Evaminer
		pheyance See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: any objection Applicant may not request that any objection	tion to the drawing(s) be need in	disapproved by the Examiner.
The arranged drawing correction filed (on is. a) approved a	, <u> </u>
If approved corrected drawings are requ	lied in robiy to and	•
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		s C 8 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	or foreign priority under 33 0	.0.0.3
None of:		•
. Prit	locuments have been receive	ed in Application No.
1	i a a monte have need teletiv	50 III 7 IPPII
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	of the priority documents have ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)). es not received.
* See the attached detailed Office action 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	ar domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to	guage provisional application	n has been received.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lar 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
7		

Application/control Number: 09/645,933

Art unit:2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 1. Claims 52-54, 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being,unpatentable over Ramous (US. Patent 5,896,533) in view of Allard et al (US. Patent 5,991,802).

As to claim 52, Ramous teaches a computer in communications network (the network of computers in WWW 102(col 1, In 56-67/ col 2, In 1-19/Fig 1 b), data (data, cot 2, In 1-19/ cot 3, In 15-67/ col 4, In 1-35col 6, In 10-65), network server (www server 206, cot 3, In 15-67/ cot 6, In 10-67/ Fig. 2b), a computer (computer 200, Fig. 2a), an object embedding program (storage object, cot 5, In 38-67/ cot 6, In 1-5), a link (URL 208, cot 3, In 15-67col 6, In 5-67/ Fig. 2b), network based information (name, addresses of objects, and files on Internet's WWW 206/ the server name and path name to the file where the object's content reside, col 3, In 15-67), a compound document implementated (application 202, 204, cot 3, 1n 15-67/ Fig. 2.a).

Ramous does not explicit teach a script program, a link can locate said script program. However, Allard teaches the URL that uniquely identifies the computer on which server executes and the resource, a script, script name, the shim script (cot 1, In 30-50/ cot 2, In 1-29/In 45-52/col 2, In 1-67 to cot 4, In 1-45/col 11, In 45-50).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Allard to Ramous in order to permit a client to specify that a computer program is to be executed by the server.

As to claim 53, Ramous does not teach a direct link to said script program. However, Allard teaches URL in an HTTP request specifies not only the protocol and server computer but also a script, a behavior of script (cot 2, In 5-25

Application/control Number:09/645,933

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Allard to Ramous in order to permit a client to specify that a computer program is to be executed by the server.

As to claim 54, Ramous does not explicit teach a link to said script program. However, Allard teaches the URL that uniquely identifies the computer on which server executes and the resource, a script, script name, the shim script (col 1, In 30-50/ col 2, In 1-29/ln 45-52/col 2, In 167 to col 4, In 1-45/col 11, In 45-50).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Allard to Ramous in order to permit a client to specify that a computer program is to be executed by the server.

As to claim 56, Ramous teaches a universal resource locator (URL 208, col 3, In 15-67col 6, In 5-67/Fig. 2b).

As to claim 57, Ramous teaches compound document (application 202, 204, col 3, In 1567/ Fig. 2.a).

As to claim 58, Ramous does not teach a page of information. However, Allard teaches page 1(col 1, In 30-50).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Allard to Ramous in order to permit a client to specify that a computer program be to be executed by the server.

As to the method of claim 59, see the rejection of claim 1.

2. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramous (US. Patent 5,896,533) in view of Allard et al (US. Patent 5,991,802) and further in view of Sengoku et al (Hypertext type information providing information retrieving device)

As to claim 55, Allard does not teach a link table to said script program. However, Sengoku teaches a URL table (page 1-2).

Application/Control Lumber: 09/645,933

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Sengoku to Allard in order to improve convenience for a user who access to a web page.

3. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (703) 305 5312. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

Fax phone: AFTER FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to: (703) 746-2738, OFFICAL faxes must be signed and send to: (703) 746-7239, NON OFFICIAL faxes should not be signed, please send to: (703) 746-7240

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is ,703 305 9000.

LeChi Truong

November 28, 2003

JOHN FOLLANSBER JOHN FOLLANSBER SUPERVISORY PATENTER 2100 SUPERHIOLOGY CENTER 2100